UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,999	10/30/2003	Richard S. Sanders	279.611US1	7426
21186 7590 07/10/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER .	
			THOMAS, JONATHAN B	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,999	SANDERS, RICHARD S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan B. Thomas	3709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/30	<u>0/203</u> .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alas da a sa a d					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10/30/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	·					
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/697,999

Art Unit: 3709

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 52, 200, 250. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindberg 5,370,666 in view of Von Arx et al. 6,985,773. Lindberg teaches an

Application/Control Number: 10/697,999

Art Unit: 3709

electrogram signal sensing channel 6, one or more pacing channels C1 and 15, programmable tachyarrhythmia detection controller 4, telemetry interface 12, magnetic switch 14, and activity level sensor 6, however Lindberg does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Von Arx: disablement of delivery of therapy (Col. 3 II. 56 – Col. 4 II. 9) and re-enablement of therapy (Col. 3 II. 12-55), disablement time interval specification via the telemetry interface (Col. 3 II. 12-17), magnetic switch therapy actuation (Col. 3 II. 32-38), and activity level therapy actuation (Col. 3 II. 47-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lindberg in view of Von Arx to protect the circuitry from foreseeable damaging levels of electromagnetic interference.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindberg in view of Von Arx and further in view of Hauser 5,385,574.

Lindberg and Von Arx teach the device as disclosed above, however it does not teach the following claimed limitations disclosed by Hauser: defibrillation and pacing channels 80 and 82. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Lindberg and Von Arx device in view of Hauser to reduce the number of devices implanted in the patient.

Claims 14, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindberg in view of Von Arx as applied to claims 1,16, and 18 above, and further in view of McDonald 4,236,522.

Lindberg and Von Arx teach the apparatus as described above however it does not teach the following claimed limitation taught by McDonald: therapy disablement by

disablement of one or more sensing channels (Col. 3 II. 25-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Lindberg and Von Arx in view of McDonald to switch a demand mode pacer to an asynchronous mode pacer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571)270-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5 EST.

Alternate Fridays off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 5712724868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/03/2007 Jun Huy Kin Suith Prinary EyamiNER

7/3/07